Exemplar_Course 2 TikTok project lab

December 21, 2023

1 TikTok Project

Course 2 - Get Started with Python

Welcome to the TikTok Project!

You have just started as a data professional at TikTok.

The team is still in the early stages of the project. You have received notice that TikTok's leadership team has approved the project proposal. To gain clear insights to prepare for a claims classification model, TikTok's provided data must be examined to begin the process of exploratory data analysis (EDA).

A notebook was structured and prepared to help you in this project. Please complete the following questions.

2 Course 2 End-of-course project: Inspect and analyze data

In this activity, you will examine data provided and prepare it for analysis.

The purpose of this project is to investigate and understand the data provided. This activity will:

- 1. Acquaint you with the data
- 2. Compile summary information about the data
- 3. Begin the process of EDA and reveal insights contained in the data
- 4. Prepare you for more in-depth EDA, hypothesis testing, and statistical analysis

The goal is to construct a dataframe in Python, perform a cursory inspection of the provided dataset, and inform TikTok data team members of your findings. *This activity has three parts:*

Part 1: Understand the situation * How can you best prepare to understand and organize the provided TikTok information?

Part 2: Understand the data

- Create a pandas dataframe for data learning and future exploratory data analysis (EDA) and statistical activities
- Compile summary information about the data to inform next steps

Part 3: Understand the variables

• Use insights from your examination of the summary data to guide deeper investigation into variables

To complete the activity, follow the instructions and answer the questions below. Then, you will us your responses to these questions and the questions included in the Course 2 PACE Strategy Document to create an executive summary.

Be sure to complete this activity before moving on to Course 3. You can assess your work by comparing the results to a completed exemplar after completing the end-of-course project.

3 Identify data types and compile summary information

4 PACE stages

Throughout these project notebooks, you'll see references to the problem-solving framework PACE. The following notebook components are labeled with the respective PACE stage: Plan, Analyze, Construct, and Execute.

4.1 PACE: Plan

Consider the questions in your PACE Strategy Document and those below to craft your response:

4.1.1 Task 1. Understand the situation

• How can you best prepare to understand and organize the provided information?

Begin by exploring your dataset and consider reviewing the Data Dictionary.

Exemplar response: Prepare by reading in the data, viewing the data dictionary, and exploring the dataset to identify key variables for the stakeholder.

4.2 PACE: Analyze

Consider the questions in your PACE Strategy Document to reflect on the Analyze stage.

4.2.1 Task 2a. Imports and data loading

Start by importing the packages that you will need to load and explore the dataset. Make sure to use the following import statements: * import pandas as pd

• import numpy as np

```
[1]: # Import packages
import pandas as pd
```

import numpy as np

Then, load the dataset into a dataframe. Creating a dataframe will help you conduct data manipulation, exploratory data analysis (EDA), and statistical activities.

Note: As shown in this cell, the dataset has been automatically loaded in for you. You do not need to download the .csv file, or provide more code, in order to access the dataset and proceed with this lab. Please continue with this activity by completing the following instructions.

[2]: data = pd.read_csv("tiktok_dataset.csv")

4.2.2 Task 2b. Understand the data - Inspect the data

View and inspect summary information about the dataframe by coding the following:

- 1. data.head(10)
- 2. data.info()
- 3. data.describe()

Consider the following questions:

Question 1: When reviewing the first few rows of the dataframe, what do you observe about the data? What does each row represent?

Question 2: When reviewing the data.info() output, what do you notice about the different variables? Are there any null values? Are all of the variables numeric? Does anything else stand out?

Question 3: When reviewing the data.describe() output, what do you notice about the distributions of each variable? Are there any questionable values? Does it seem that there are outlier values?

[3]: # Display and examine the first 10 rows of the dataframe

data.head(10)

0

[3]:		#	claim_status	video_id	video_duration_sec	\
	0	1	claim	7017666017	59	
	1	2	claim	4014381136	32	
	2	3	claim	9859838091	31	
	3	4	claim	1866847991	25	
	4	5	claim	7105231098	19	
	5	6	claim	8972200955	35	
	6	7	claim	4958886992	16	
	7	8	claim	2270982263	41	
	8	9	claim	5235769692	50	
	9	10	claim	4660861094	45	

video_transcription_text verified_status \ someone shared with me that drone deliveries a... not verified

1 someone shared with me that there are more mic... not verified 2 someone shared with me that american industria... not verified 3 someone shared with me that the metro of st. p... not verified 4 someone shared with me that the number of busi ... not verified 5 someone shared with me that gross domestic pro... not verified 6 someone shared with me that elvis presley has ... not verified 7 someone shared with me that the best selling s... not verified 8 someone shared with me that about half of the ... not verified 9 someone shared with me that it would take a 50 ... verified

	author_ban_	status	video_view_count	video_like_count	video_share_count	\
0	under	review	343296.0	19425.0	241.0	
1		active	140877.0	77355.0	19034.0	
2		active	902185.0	97690.0	2858.0	
3		active	437506.0	239954.0	34812.0	
4		active	56167.0	34987.0	4110.0	
5	under	review	336647.0	175546.0	62303.0	
6		active	750345.0	486192.0	193911.0	
7		active	547532.0	1072.0	50.0	
8		active	24819.0	10160.0	1050.0	
9		active	931587.0	171051.0	67739.0	

video_download_count	video_comment_count
1.0	0.0
1161.0	684.0
833.0	329.0
1234.0	584.0
547.0	152.0
4293.0	1857.0
8616.0	5446.0
22.0	11.0
53.0	27.0
4104.0	2540.0
	1.0 1161.0 833.0 1234.0 547.0 4293.0 8616.0 22.0 53.0

[4]: # Get summary info

data.info()

<class 'pandas.core.frame.DataFrame'> RangeIndex: 19382 entries, 0 to 19381 Data columns (total 12 columns):

#	Column	Non-Null Count	Dtype
0	#	19382 non-null	int64
1	claim_status	19084 non-null	object
2	video_id	19382 non-null	int64
3	video_duration_sec	19382 non-null	int64

4	video_transcription_text	19084 non-null	object		
5	verified_status	19382 non-null	object		
6	author_ban_status	19382 non-null	object		
7	video_view_count	19084 non-null	float64		
8	video_like_count	19084 non-null	float64		
9	video_share_count	19084 non-null	float64		
10	video_download_count	19084 non-null	float64		
11	video_comment_count	19084 non-null	float64		
<pre>dtypes: float64(5), int64(3), object(4)</pre>					
memory usage: 1.8+ MB					

[5]: # Get summary statistics

data.describe()

[5]:		#		video_id	video du	ration_sec	video_view	count	\setminus
	count	19382.000000	1.9	_ 38200e+04	_	382.000000	19084.0	-	·
	mean	9691.500000	5.6	27454e+09		32.421732	254708.5	558688	
	std	5595.245794	2.5	36440e+09		16.229967	322893.2	280814	
	min	1.000000	1.2	34959e+09		5.000000	20.0	000000	
	25%	4846.250000	3.4	30417e+09		18.000000	4942.5	500000	
	50%	9691.500000	5.6	18664e+09		32.000000	9954.5	500000	
	75%	14536.750000	7.8	43960e+09		47.000000	504327.0	000000	
	max	19382.000000	9.9	99873e+09		60.000000	999817.0	000000	
		video_like_co	unt	video_sha	re_count	video_dowr	load_count	\	
	count	19084.000	000	1908	4.000000	19	0084.000000		
	mean	84304.636	030	1673	5.248323	1	.049.429627		
	std	133420.546	814	3203	6.174350	2	2004.299894		
	min	0.000	000		0.000000		0.000000		
	25%	810.750	000	11	5.000000		7.000000		
	50%	3403.500	000	71	7.000000		46.000000		
	75%	125020.000	000	1822	2.000000	1	156.250000		

256130.000000

14994.000000

	video_comment_count
count	19084.000000
mean	349.312146
std	799.638865
min	0.000000
25%	1.000000
50%	9.00000
75%	292.000000
max	9599.000000

657830.000000

Exemplar response:

max

Question 1: The dataframe contains a collection of categorical, text, and numerical data. Each row

represents a distinct TikTok video that presents either a claim or an opinion and the accompanying metadata about that video.

Question 2: The dataframe contains five float64s, three int64s, and four objects. There are 19,382 observations, but some of the variables are missing values, including claim status, the video transcripton, and all of the count variables.

Question 3: Many of the count variables seem to have outliers at the high end of the distribution. They have very large standard deviations and maximum values that are very high compared to their quartile values.

4.2.3 Task 2c. Understand the data - Investigate the variables

In this phase, you will begin to investigate the variables more closely to better understand them.

You know from the project proposal that the ultimate objective is to use machine learning to classify videos as either claims or opinions. A good first step towards understanding the data might therefore be examining the claim_status variable. Begin by determining how many videos there are for each different claim status.

```
[6]: # What are the different values for claim status and how many of each are in
→ the data?
```

```
data['claim_status'].value_counts()
```

[6]: claim 9608
 opinion 9476
 Name: claim_status, dtype: int64

Exemplar response: The counts of each claim status are quite balanced.

Next, examine the engagement trends associated with each different claim status.

Start by using Boolean masking to filter the data according to claim status, then calculate the mean and median view counts for each claim status.

```
[7]: # What is the average view count of videos with "claim" status?
claims = data[data['claim_status'] == 'claim']
print('Mean view count claims:', claims['video_view_count'].mean())
print('Median view count claims:', claims['video_view_count'].median())
```

Mean view count claims: 501029.4527477102 Median view count claims: 501555.0

[8]: # What is the average view count of videos with "opinion" status?

```
opinions = data[data['claim_status'] == 'opinion']
print('Mean view count opinions:', opinions['video_view_count'].mean())
print('Median view count opinions:', opinions['video_view_count'].median())
```

Mean view count opinions: 4956.43224989447 Median view count opinions: 4953.0

Exemplar response: The mean and the median within each claim category are close to one another, but there is a vast discrepancy between view counts for videos labeled as claims and videos labeled as opinions.

Now, examine trends associated with the ban status of the author.

Use groupby() to calculate how many videos there are for each combination of categories of claim status and author ban status.

```
[9]: # Get counts for each group combination of claim status and author ban status
```

```
data.groupby(['claim_status', 'author_ban_status']).count()[['#']]
```

	•
131	•

		#
claim_status	author_ban_status	
claim	active	6566
	banned	1439
	under review	1603
opinion	active	8817
	banned	196
	under review	463

Exemplar response: There are many more claim videos with banned authors than there are opinion videos with banned authors. This could mean a number of things, including the possibilities that: * Claim videos are more strictly policed than opinion videos * Authors must comply with a stricter set of rules if they post a claim than if they post an opinion

Also, it should be noted that there's no way of knowing if claim videos are inherently more likely than opinion videos to result in author bans, or if authors who post claim videos are more likely to post videos that violate terms of service.

Finally, while you can use this data to draw conclusions about banned/active authors, you cannot draw conclusions about banned videos. There's no way of determining whether a particular video *caused* the ban, and banned authors could have posted videos that complied with the terms of service.

Continue investigating engagement levels, now focusing on author_ban_status.

Calculate the median video share count of each author ban status.

```
[10]: data.groupby(['author_ban_status']).agg(
    {'video_view_count': ['mean', 'median'],
        'video_like_count': ['mean', 'median'],
        'video_share_count': ['mean', 'median']})
```

[10]:	video_view_count	vi	deo_like_count	١
	mean	median	mean	median
author_ban_status				
active	215927.039524	8616.0	71036.533836	2222.0

banned	445845.439144	448201.0	153017.236697	105573.0
under review	392204.836399	365245.5	128718.050339	71204.5
	video_share_count			
	mean	median		
author_ban_status				
active	14111.466164	437.0		
banned	29998.942508	14468.0		
under review	25774.696999	9444.0		

[11]: # What's the median video share count of each author ban status?

```
data.groupby(['author_ban_status']).median(numeric_only=True)[
    ['video_share_count']]
```

[11]:	video_share_count
author_ban_status	
active	437.0
banned	14468.0
under review	9444.0

Exemplar response: Banned authors have a median share count that's 33 times the median share count of active authors! Explore this in more depth.

Use groupby() to group the data by author_ban_status, then use agg() to get the count, mean, and median of each of the following columns: * video_view_count * video_like_count * video_share_count

Remember, the argument for the agg() function is a dictionary whose keys are columns. The values for each column are a list of the calculations you want to perform.

```
[12]: data.groupby(['author_ban_status']).agg(
    {'video_view_count': ['count', 'mean', 'median'],
        'video_like_count': ['count', 'mean', 'median'],
        'video_share_count': ['count', 'mean', 'median']
    })
```

[12]:		video_view_count		7	video_like_count	\
		count	mean	median	count	
	author_ban_status					
	active	15383	215927.039524	8616.0	15383	
	banned	1635	445845.439144	448201.0	1635	
	under review	2066	392204.836399	365245.5	2066	
			video_share_count		١	
		mean	 median	_ count	mean	
	author_ban_status					
	active	71036.533836	2222.0	15383	14111.466164	

banned	153017.236697	105573.0	1635	29998.942508
under review	128718.050339	71204.5	2066	25774.696999

	median
author_ban_status	
active	437.0
banned	14468.0
under review	9444.0

Exemplar response: A few observations stand out: * Banned authors and those under review get far more views, likes, and shares than active authors. * In most groups, the mean is much greater than the median, which indicates that there are some videos with very high engagement counts.

Now, create three new columns to help better understand engagement rates: * likes_per_view: represents the number of likes divided by the number of views for each video * comments_per_view: represents the number of comments divided by the number of views for each video * shares_per_view: represents the number of shares divided by the number of views for each video *

Use groupby() to compile the information in each of the three newly created columns for each combination of categories of claim status and author ban status, then use agg() to calculate the count, the mean, and the median of each group.

[14]:	<pre>data.groupby(['claim_status', 'author_ban_status']).agg(</pre>			
	{'likes_per_view': ['count', 'mean', 'median'],			
	<pre>'comments_per_view': ['count', 'mean', 'median'],</pre>			
	<pre>'shares_per_view': ['count', 'mean', 'median']})</pre>			

[14]:			likes_per_view			Ν
			count	mean	median	
	claim_status	author_ban_status				
	claim	active	6566	0.329542	0.326538	
		banned	1439	0.345071	0.358909	
		under review	1603	0.327997	0.320867	
	opinion	active	8817	0.219744	0.218330	
		banned	196	0.206868	0.198483	
		under review	463	0.226394	0.228051	

		comments_per_view	J	
		count	c mean	median
claim_status	author_ban_status			
claim	active	6566	6 0.001393	0.000776
	banned	1439	0.001377	0.000746
	under review	1603	3 0.001367	0.000789
opinion	active	8817	0.000517	0.000252
	banned	196	6 0.000434	0.000193
	under review	463	3 0.000536	0.000293
		<pre>shares_per_view</pre>		
		count	mean	median
claim_status	author_ban_status			
claim	active	6566	0.065456	0.049279
	banned	1439	0.067893	0.051606
	under review	1603	0.065733	0.049967
opinion	active	8817	0.043729	0.032405
	banned	196	0.040531	0.030728
	under review	463	0.044472	0.035027

Exemplar response: We know that videos by banned authors and those under review tend to get far more views, likes, and shares than videos by non-banned authors. However, *when a video does get viewed*, its engagement rate is less related to author ban status and more related to its claim status.

\

Also, we know that claim videos have a higher view rate than opinion videos, but this tells us that claim videos also have a higher rate of likes on average, so they are more favorably received as well. Furthermore, they receive more engagement via comments and shares than opinion videos.

Note that for claim videos, banned authors have slightly higher likes/view and shares/view rates than active authors or those under review. However, for opinion videos, active authors and those under review both get higher engagement rates than banned authors in all categories.

4.3 PACE: Construct

Note: The Construct stage does not apply to this workflow. The PACE framework can be adapted to fit the specific requirements of any project.

4.4 PACE: Execute

Consider the questions in your PACE Strategy Document and those below to craft your response:

4.4.1 Given your efforts, what can you summarize for Rosie Mae Bradshaw and the TikTok data team?

Note for Learners: Your answer should address TikTok's request for a summary that covers the following points:

- What percentage of the data is comprised of claims and what percentage is comprised of opinions?
- What factors correlate with a video's claim status?
- What factors correlate with a video's engagement level?

Exemplar response:

- Of the 19,382 samples in this dataset, just under 50% are claims—9,608 of them.
- Engagement level is strongly correlated with claim status. This should be a focus of further inquiry.
- Videos with banned authors have significantly higher engagement than videos with active authors. Videos with authors under review fall between these two categories in terms of engagement levels.

Congratulations! You've completed this lab. However, you may not notice a green check mark next to this item on Coursera's platform. Please continue your progress regardless of the check mark. Just click on the "save" icon at the top of this notebook to ensure your work has been logged.